

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/894,113	06/27/2001	Ji Zhang	CISCP214	6264	
22434	7590 04/11/2005		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			PHILIPPE, GIMS S		
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613	2613	
		DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/894,113	ZHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gims S Philippe	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					
Potent and Trademady Office						

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on November 4th, 2004 has been fully considered and entered, but the arguments are not deemed to be persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-20, and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shen et al. (US Patent no. 6,377,627) for the same reasons as previously set forth in the last Office Action mailed on August 8th 2004, paper no. 4.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 1-20, and 23-43, the applicant argues that independent claims 1, 11, 24, and 34 all recite identifying "zero patterns", and Shen does not identify zero patterns and zero pattern information.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument because in col. 4, lines 50-55, Shen clearly identify the zero patterns. In fact, Shen states that "the number of computations that the core processor will have to perform will overall be reduces by improving the <u>zero patterns</u> in a majority of the blocks processed ...".

The applicant is reminded that in order for Shen to improve the "zero patterns", these patterns must first be identified.

The applicant further refers to page 12, line 5 of the present application to reinforce the above argument.

While the examiner appreciates the applicant's effort to show which portion of the Specification details the claimed limitations, the examiner is not convinced for the simple fact that in col. 3, lines 36-54, Chen clearly show how the zero values are located in determining the zero patterns.

The applicant further argues that Shen does determine if a position in a matrix has a non-zero value, however, does not identify the zero patterns and zero pattern information as recited in the claims.

The examiner respectfully disagrees since in col. 3, lines 47-54, Shen discloses summing the IDCT performed on the dummy matrix. It is submitted that in order for Shen to sum the IDCT, the information on the zero patterns must be known since the

Art Unit: 2613

full application on IDCT is required to take advantage of the "all-zeros" phenomenon (See Shen col. 3, lines 11-22).

Note: the examiner acknowledges that claims 24 and 34 has been amended to recite "wherein indentifying zero patterns comprises determining the location of zero values or near zero values in the block of transformed coefficients". Such limitation is found in Shen col. 4, lines 36-45 where the position of zeroes is determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US Patent no. 6,377,627) for the same reasons as previously set forth in the last Office Action mailed on August 8th 2004, paper no. 4.

Regarding claims 21-22, the applicant did not present any specific argument with respect to the limitations of these claims. It is therefore, the examiner's position that since the arguments presented by the applicant have been answered above, claims 21-22 were properly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,113

Art Unit: 2613

Page 5

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S Philippe whose telephone number is (571) 272-7336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

GSP

April 8, 2005